

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (Commerce OF ENTENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. (2023) www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09.680,721 | 10 06 2000 | Frederick Browne Gregg | 64908 | 2099 |
| 75 | 90 04:09:2002 | | | |
| Christopher F. Regan Esquire Allen Dyer Doppelt Milbrath & Gilchrist PA PO Box 3791 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA | |
| Orlando, FL 32802-3791 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | , |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002 | ٤ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A5-0

Application No.

09/680,721

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Ula Corinna Ruddock

Art Unit 1771

Gregg et al.

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|--|---|
| Period for Reply | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. | 7 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed inication. |
| | ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will |
| | ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this |
| • • | by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |
| Status | |
| 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 6, | 2000 . |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a | action is non-final. |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex | per except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| 4) X Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> | is/are pending in the application. |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| 5) Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| 6) Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| 7).: Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| 8) X: Claims <u>1-53</u> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| Application Papers | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| The drawing(s) filed on is/a | are objected to by the Examiner. |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) disapproved. |
| 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | |
| 13). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | |
| 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h | ave been received. |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h | ave been received in Application No |
| application from the International Bu | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes | |
| • | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). |
| 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 20) Other: |

Application/Control Number: 09/680,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-38, drawn to a backerboard sheet, classified in class 442, subclass 2+.
 - II. Claims 39-53, drawn to a wall structure, classified in class 428, subclass 45.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as flooring or roofing material and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Paul Ditmyer on March 27, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock WCL Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771 April 8, 2002